Occupational History of a Late Pre-Contact Site in the North Carolina Piedmont

Introduction

This research is part of the Yadkin River Settlement Ecology (YRSE) Project, which is a multiscalar analysis of human-landscape interactions in the late pre-contact (AD 1000-1600) western North Carolina Piedmont. The broader goals of this work are: 1) to provide a better description of and explanation for the settlement activities of non-hierarchically organized communities and societies and 2) to explore the role of human-landscape interactions in sociopolitical organization. The research presented here focuses on the first goal through analysis of findings from the first two seasons of excavations at the 31Yd173 site, located near Jonesville, NC (Figure 1).



Sediments and Microlandscape



Dr. Eric E. Jones

WAKE FOREST

Department of Anthropology



Wake Forest University Archaeology Field School

Setting the Stage

Surveys during the 2011 and 2012 field seasons identified 31Yd173 as having high potential for containing intact settlement remains. Surface collections over 50% of the site yielded over 400 pottery sherds and 300 lithic artifacts. Follow-up shovel testing uncovered two thin (10-30cm), dark gray-brown strata, the first 35cm below the surface (interface with the plowzone) and the second 115cm below the surface (Figure 2). The upper stratum was estimated to cover an oval area approximately 30x10m. The lower stratum was found in only a single STP at 10m spacing. These were initially interpreted as middens because of the dense concentrations of artifacts and floral and faunal remains these layers produced.

Feature Patterning, Artifact Distribution, and Activity Patterns

°°°°

• •







Previous research (Jones et al. 2012) identified the factors that influenced largescale settlement distribution: flat agricultural land, secluded locations, and distance from trails. The 31Yd173 site was chosen as primary location for exploring finer grained human ecology in this area through an analysis of humanlandscape interaction on the community level and through intrasite patterning. The goal was to better understand how these large scale patterns played out at the community level. Analysis of sediments began in the fall of 2012 and excavations began in the summer of 2013.



Feature 15, located in stratum 3, is believed to be a cooking pit or hearth. The southern half contained large quantities of charcoal, small burned bone fragments, and fired clay. Large fragments of unburned bone were found just above this feature.

Unit 7

Unit 6

219 00¹91

Pottery Flakes FCR

Points

various artifact classes found in the plowzone across the excavated area. Overall artifact numbers are higher on the crest of the levee and decrease in the units on the front-slope. There is also an inverse relationship between pottery and lithic concentrations and circular stain concentrations. Finally, there are higher numbers of botanical remains overlaying the densest concentrations of circular stains.

· - -

Concentrations of

Circulare stains (possible postmolds) and reddened sediments in units 24 and 25. The stains decrease in density in these units.

The reddened sediments contained high concentrations of charcoal and small burned bone fragments.

1. V That We The Conclusions

The sedimentology results are the most complete and indicate that people likely chose this location within the floodplain because of the sizeable levee and the flood protection it offered. Combined with the early interpretations of feature and artifact patterns (and earlier survey results), we can start to piece together a picture in which people clustered activities in a small area (30x30m) of the floodplain. Given the presence of features and postmolds, albeit small in diameter, in multiple strata, people likely used the area for an extended period of time, either continuously or repeatedly. Future work will focus on the intact strata 2 and 3 to determine a possible function for the site and dates of use. Early results from artifact analysis suggest this site was used between AD 1400-1700. 31Yd173 has the potential to provide a great deal of information about late pre-contact life in this area. If this site is a settlement, as suspected, that potential will increase greatly given the relatively good preservation of postmolds and features.

Methods

Sediment size analysis: fall 2012-spring 2013

Sediments were collected in 2012 during shovel testing. 23 samples from individual, discrete strata were run through sieves of >2mm, >1mm, >500 μ m, >250 μ m, >125 μ m, >63 μ m, and <63 μ m sieves and mechanically shaken for 15 minutes. 5 samples were also subjected to Bouycous hydrometer analysis.

Excavation: summer 2013

The goal was to remove the plowzone and uncover a significant portion of the first intact stratum to explore horizontal patterns in features and artifacts. We took this strategy to maximize the likelihood of identifying intrasite settlement and activity patterns. The plowzone was removed as two levels in the same stratum by shovel skimming and troweling; sediments were processed through a 1/4-inch screen. 25 units were excavated to the intact stratum below the plowzone, and one unit (unit 1) was excavated to 150cm with a core of an additional 100cm taken in the floor. Features and suspected postmolds were mapped on an iPad using iDraw software. Artifacts recovered during excavation of the plowzone were categorized, counted, and weighed.

The following sections describe results from the early stages of analyses of several lines of evidence. Early interpretations are also offered.

Material				
Unit 5	Unit 9		Charcaol (1/10 g)	
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 12280 \\ 12202 \\ 0 \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 12202 \\ 12202 \\ 12202 \end{array} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 720318 \\ 1 \\ 230 \\ 1 \\ 23 \\ 23$		Beans/Nutshell	
Unit 1	Unit 2		■ Bone (1/10 g)	
At 100 At 100 At 171 b2100 Material	Aijum 0 Aijum 0 Aijum 0 Aijum 0 Aijum 0 Aijum 0 Aijum 0 Aijum 0 Material		Historic	
Unit 3	Unit 4	Unit 15	Unit 20	
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 100\\ 198\\ 0 \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 46\\ 198\\ 07450\\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 100\\ 32\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 100 & 65 \\ 50 & 2718_{3} & 2^{17} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$ Material	A 100 205 391 83 0 Material	
Unit 10	Unit 8	Unit 13	Unit 21	Unit 24
100 -74 30 29 00 02 Material	Attine 0 Material	$\mathbf{A}_{0}^{100} \mathbf{A}_{0}^{67} \mathbf{A}_{0}^{44} \mathbf{A}_{0}^{30} \mathbf{A}_{0}^{9} \mathbf{A}_{113}^{113} \mathbf{A}_{0}^{113} \mathbf{A}_{0}^{111} \mathbf{A}_{0$	$\begin{array}{c} 100\\ 44\\ 659^{2}65\\ 0\\ 0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 46 \\ 2^{2} 4^{194} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$
Unit 13	Unit 12	Unit 14	Unit 22	Unit 25
$\mathbf{\hat{A}}_{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} 100 & \mathbf{\hat{3}}_{7} \\ \mathbf{\hat{3}}_{18}_{18771} \\ \mathbf{\hat{3}}_{18}_{18}_{18771} \\ \mathbf{\hat{3}}_{18}_{18}_{18771} \\ \mathbf{\hat{3}}_{18}_{18}_{18771} \\ \mathbf{\hat{3}}_{18}_{18}_{18771} \\ \mathbf{\hat{3}}_{18}_{18}_{18771} \\ \mathbf{\hat{3}}_{18}_{18}_{18771} \\ \mathbf{\hat{3}}_{18}_{18}_{18}_{18}_{18}_{18}_{18}_{1$	A 100 70 3 0 24 16 10 1 0 10 Material	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 33 \\ 18 \\ 16^{17} \\ 14 \\ Material \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100 & 68 \\ 42 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 19 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 $	100 48 181 181 187 2 Material
Unit 18	Unit 17	Unit 16	Unit 23	
Atjuen 0 422 25830 Material	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 100\\ \begin{array}{c} 80\\ 121\\ 213\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 213\\ 13\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 13\\ 13\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 13\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 13\\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 79 \\ 77_{1}26 \\ 33 \\ 0 \\ \hline Material \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100\\ \text{iture}\\ \mathbf{O}\\ $	
	Unit 19			
	100 100 129 26 4 26 4 0 Material			

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I must thank several Wake Forest undergraduates who have helped in this work. Peter Ellis assisted with the exploratory excavations and constructed the artifact count figure. Samantha Yaussy performed the sediment analysis and produced the associated graphs. Pierce Wright categorized the surface ceramic assemblage. This work was greatly enhanced by insights from Paul Thacker, Ned Woodall, Rhea Rogers, Logan Kistler, and Sharon DeWitte.

Works Cited

Jones, Eric E., Madison Gattis, Andrew Wardner, Thomas C. Morrison, and Sara Frantz (2012) Exploring Prehistoric Tribal Settlement Ecology in the Southeast: A Case Study from the North Carolina Piedmont. North American Archaeologist 33(2):157-190.